

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 11/07/2003 01306.000072.1 8246 10/702,546 Yuichi Makino EXAMINER 06/24/2004 5514 7590 BOLLINGER, DAVID H FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT NEW YORK, NY 10112 3653 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/702,546	MAKINO, YUICHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David H Bollinger	3653
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	l	
•	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	illowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 200</u>	<u>03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□] objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of

1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-
3	Information Dicalogues Statement(s) (PTO 1440 or PTC

a) \boxtimes All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) 🔲 Other: ___

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/933,162</u>.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Application/Control Number: 10/702,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al in view of Greco, Jr.

Kobayashi et al teaches everything except the electricity removal means.

Greco, Jr. teaches an original document processing apparatus employing an electricity removal means (see the abstract).

In view of the teachings of Greco, Jr., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an electricity removal means in Kobayashi et al.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13 and 14 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,991 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Art Unit: 3653

patentably distinct from each other because the conveying means being a conveyor would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H Bollinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H Bollinger
Primary Examiner 6/20/04

Art Unit 3653